1	ERIN E. HOLBROOK, Chief Counsel	
$_{2}$	ALAN M. STEINBERG, Deputy Chief Counsel	
	GINA L. CARDOZA, Assistant Chief Counsel	
3	BAHAR M. HAMIDI, State Bar No. 318256 DANIELLE E. PATCH, State Bar No. 350929	
4	California Department of Transportation 1120 N Street (MS 57), Sacramento, CA 95814	
5	P.O. Box 1438, Sacramento, CA 95812-1438	
6	Telephone: (916) 654-2630 Facsimile: (916) 654-6128	
7		
8	Attorneys for Defendant, STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	MARICIO LOPEZ,) Case No.: 2:25-CV-00707-WBS-SCR
14	Plaintiff,))
15	VS.) STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO) RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; ORDER
16	STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF))
17	TRANSPORTATION, and Does 1-20, inclusive,))
18	Defendants	Complaint Filed: February 28, 2025
19))
20		
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto through their respective	
22	attorneys that Defendant CALIFORNIA STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	
23	("Caltrans") may have additional time within which to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint pursuant to	
24	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 6. Therefore, parties agree that the last day for Defendant to	
25	answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint is Tuesday, April 22, 2025.	
26	Good cause exists for this extension as defense counsel has just been assigned to this case and	
27	requires time to become knowledgeable about the case to prepare an initial pleading.	
28	Response to Plaintiff's Complaint is currently due on Tuesday, March 25, 2025. No prior	
	-1-	
	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER	

extensions of time for responding have been sought or granted and the requested extension is not more 1 than 28 days pursuant to United States District Court, Eastern District of California Local Rule 144. 2 This document is being electronically filed through the Court's ECF System. In this regard, 3 counsel for Defendant hereby attests that (1) the content of this document is acceptable to all persons 4 required to sign the document; (2) Plaintiff's counsel has concurred with the filing of this document; and 5 (3) a record supporting this concurrence is available for inspection or production if so ordered. 6 7 Respectfully submitted, 8 CALIFORNIA STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 9 10 DATED: March 20, 2025 By: /s/ Danielle E. Patch 11 Danielle E. Patch Attorney for Defendant 12 CALIFORNIA STATE DEPARTMENT OF 13 TRANSPORTATION 14 DATED: March 20, 2025 ROSENBERG, SHPALL & ZEIGEN, APLC 15 16 By: /s/ Chad Edwards 17 **Chad Edwards** Attorney for Plaintiff 18 MARICIO LOPEZ 19 20 21 **ORDER** 22 Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED. Defendant should answer or 23 otherwise respond to Plaintiff's complaint on or before Tuesday, April 22, 2025. 24 Dated: March 21, 2025 25 WILLIAM B. SHUBB 26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 27 28